

Serial No. [[10/924,413]] 10/822,079Substitute Sheet

Commissioner for Patents  
Mail Stop: NON-FEE AMENDMENT  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

5 Sir:

In response to the recent Office action, please amend the above-referenced application as follows.

10

**INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 through 4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Furthermore, the Examiner has rejected claims 1 through 4 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leist et al. (US-5,555,923) and rejected claims 1 through 4 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berger, Jr. (US-6,062,293), in further in view of Leist et al. (US-5,555,923).

Applicants proposed amendments obviate the Examiner's objections under Section 112. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections of his claims under Sections 102 and 103.

25

Serial No. 10/822,079

Substitute Sheet

Commissioner for Patents  
Mail Stop: NON-FEE AMENDMENT  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

5 Sir:

In response to the recent Office action, please amend the above-referenced application as follows.

10

## INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

15

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 through 4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

20

Furthermore, the Examiner has rejected claims 1 through 4 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leist et al. (US-5,555,923) and rejected claims 1 through 4 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berger, Jr. (US-6,062,293), in further in view of Leist et al. (US-5,555,923).

Applicants proposed amendments obviate the Examiner's objections under Section 112. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections of his claims under Sections 102 and 103.

25